

MPT-PhT/2000-21
 IU-MSTP/42
 hep-lat/0006008
 June, 2000

Topological Obstruction in Block-spin Transformations

TAKANORI FUJIWARA^{1*}, TAKUYA HAYASHI², HIROSHI SUZUKI^{3*} and KE WU^{2†}

¹*Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, Werner-Heisenberg-Institut,
 Föhringer Ring 6, D-80805 München, Germany*

²*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Ibaraki University, Mito 310-8512, Japan*

³*High Energy Group, Abdus Salam ICTP, Trieste, 34014, Italy*

ABSTRACT

Block-spin transformations from a fine lattice to a coarse one are shown to give rise to a one-to-one correspondence between the zero-modes of the Ginsparg-Wilson Dirac operators. The index is then preserved under the blocking process. Such a one-to-one correspondence is violated and the block-spin transformation becomes necessarily ill-defined when the absolute value of the index is larger than $2rN$, where N is the number of the sites on the coarse lattice and r is the dimension of the gauge group representation of the fermion variables.

PACS: 02.40.-k, 11.15.Ha, 11.30.Rd

Keywords: lattice gauge theory, block-spin, Dirac operator

*Permanent address: Department of Mathematical Sciences, Ibaraki University, Mito 310-8512, Japan

†Permanent address: Institute of Theoretical Physics, Academia Sinica, P.O.Box 2735, Beijing 100080, China

The technique of block-spin transformation is familiar in quantum field theory and statistical physics. It can be used to reduce the number of the degrees of freedom of a system without losing the characteristic features of the original system such as the behaviors under the symmetry transformations. When it is applied to a fermion system described by an action that is chirally symmetric and bilinear in the fermion fields, the Dirac operator of the transformed system turns out to satisfy the so-called Ginsparg-Wilson (GW) relation as the remnant of the chiral symmetry [1]. The recent discoveries of lattice Dirac operators satisfying the GW relation [2], which are free from the species doubling while keeping all the desired properties expected for lattice Dirac operators such as the classical continuum limit and the locality [3], have triggered a new development in lattice chiral gauge theories [4]. The relationship among the exact chiral symmetry on the lattice [5], the chiral anomaly and the index theorem [6] has been clarified by using the algebraic properties of the GW relation. The perturbative evaluation of the chiral anomaly in the overlap formalism has been carried out in ref. [7]. See also refs. [8] for further evaluations of the chiral anomaly in the continuum limit. The index theorem of the GW Dirac operator and the chiral anomaly of the overlap Dirac operator are also investigated in refs. [9, 10, 11].

Since any gauge field configuration on the lattice can be continuously deformed into the topologically trivial one, the space of the link variables is topologically trivial. But it can be topologically disconnected by excising the exceptional configurations [12]. The restriction of the link variables to a topologically disconnected space may also emerge on physical grounds. For instance the overlap Dirac operator is considered to be smooth and local on some restricted gauge field configuration space with a nontrivial topological structure [3], otherwise one would not obtain any nontrivial topological invariants. The index theorem [6, 5] relates the index of the GW Dirac operator to the integral of the lattice chiral anomaly, a function of the lattice gauge field, into which the topological information of the gauge field configuration space is transcribed. In the case of abelian gauge theory on an infinite regular lattice it is possible to find the explicit expression for the lattice chiral anomaly in terms of the lattice gauge field by noting its locality, gauge invariance and topological invariance [13, 14]. Furthermore, it can be interpreted as the Chern character of the lattice abelian gauge theory [14, 15]. In the case of nonabelian theories, however, no such explicit expression for the lattice chiral anomaly is available at present and the relationship between the topology of the gauge field configuration and the index of the GW Dirac operator is still not so clear for strictly finite lattice spacing [16].

In this note we investigate the indices of the GW Dirac operators within the framework of the block-spin approach [1] and try to shed some light on the connection of the indices with the topology of the gauge field. We show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the zero-modes of the GW Dirac operators related to each other by a block-spin transformation and, hence, the index is preserved under the transformation. Since the index is also invariant under arbitrary continuous variations of the gauge field, some of the zero-

modes must be topologically stable if the index is nonvanishing. The number of topologically stable zero-modes is just the absolute value of the index. Such a correspondence between the zero-modes and the persistence of the index are already argued in ref. [17], where the author considered the block-spin transformation of a chirally symmetric continuum Dirac theory by assuming that the resulting lattice is fine enough for the shape of the zero-modes of the original continuum theory to be well preserved. We will generalize his argument from a more general setting and analyze what happens in the block-spin approach when the index of the original GW Dirac operator is very large. We shall see that the block-spin transformation to a coarse lattice cannot be well-defined due to the excess of the topologically stable zero-modes when the index becomes extremely large.

Let us consider a fermion system $\{\phi, \bar{\phi}\}$ on a finite euclidean lattice Λ_0 with a Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$ satisfying the GW relation

$$\gamma_5 \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{D} \gamma_5 = 2\mathcal{D} \gamma_5 \mathcal{R} \mathcal{D} , \quad (1)$$

where the matrix $\mathcal{R}$ is assumed to be regular, hermitian and possessing positive definite eigenvalues so that $\sqrt{\mathcal{R}}$ is unambiguously defined. We also assume that $\mathcal{R}$ is local on Λ_0 and commutes with any Dirac matrix. We suppose that the fermion system is coupled to an external gauge field and the GW Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$ satisfies $\gamma_5 \mathcal{D}^\dagger \gamma_5 = \mathcal{D}$, hence $\gamma_5 \mathcal{D}$ is hermitian.

If the gauge field configuration carries a nonvanishing topological charge, there appear zero-modes of the Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$. By the GW relation they can be chosen to have definite chiralities. Let n_+ and n_- be, respectively, the number of the positive and negative chirality zero-modes, then the index is given by [6, 5, 10, 11]

$$n_+ - n_- = \text{Tr} \gamma_5 (1 - \mathcal{R} \mathcal{D}) , \quad (2)$$

where Tr implies the summation over the lattice coordinates as well as the trace of the spin and internal indices. This can be seen by considering the eigenvalue problem $\gamma_5 \hat{\mathcal{D}} \phi_\lambda = \lambda \phi_\lambda$ of the hermitian operator $\gamma_5 \hat{\mathcal{D}} \equiv \gamma_5 \sqrt{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{D} \sqrt{\mathcal{R}}$ [11], where ϕ_λ is assumed to be normalized and the eigenvalue λ satisfies $-1 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ as can be seen from $||\gamma_5(1 - \hat{\mathcal{D}})\phi_\lambda||^2 = 1 - \lambda^2 \geq 0$. Since $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ satisfies $\gamma_5 \hat{\mathcal{D}} \gamma_5 (1 - \hat{\mathcal{D}}) = -\gamma_5 (1 - \hat{\mathcal{D}}) \gamma_5 \hat{\mathcal{D}}$, we see that $\phi_{-\lambda} \propto \gamma_5 (1 - \hat{\mathcal{D}}) \phi_\lambda$ is linearly independent of ϕ_λ for $\lambda \neq 0, \pm 1$. This also implies the orthogonality $(\phi_\lambda, \gamma_5 (1 - \hat{\mathcal{D}}) \phi_\lambda) = 0$. The eigenmodes for $\lambda = \pm 1$ are necessarily chiral with chirality $\pm$ since they satisfy $\gamma_5 (1 - \hat{\mathcal{D}}) \phi_{\pm 1} = (\gamma_5 \mp 1) \phi_{\pm 1} = 0$. Hence in the computation of the index

$$\text{Tr} \gamma_5 (1 - \mathcal{R} \mathcal{D}) = \text{Tr} \gamma_5 (1 - \hat{\mathcal{D}}) = \sum_\lambda (\phi_\lambda, \gamma_5 (1 - \hat{\mathcal{D}}) \phi_\lambda) , \quad (3)$$

only the zero-modes ϕ_0 contribute to the index, giving the relation (2).

Since the index (2) is a topological invariant as can be directly verified by using the GW relation (1), the $|n_+ - n_-|$ zero-modes are stable under arbitrary local variations of the gauge

potential. This fact is well-known in the continuum theory and holds true also in the lattice theory. We now show that the index is also invariant under block-spin transformations of the fermion variables.

We suppose that Λ is a coarse sublattice of Λ_0 and the blocked variables are given by

$$(\rho\phi)_X \equiv \sum_{x \in \Lambda_0} \rho_{Xx} \phi_x, \quad (\bar{\phi}\rho^\dagger)_X \equiv \sum_{x \in \Lambda_0} \bar{\phi}_x \rho_{xX}^\dagger, \quad (X \in \Lambda). \quad (4)$$

We assume that ρ is local and commutes with any Dirac matrix. For a reason that will be clear later we also assume $\rho\rho^\dagger$ to be regular on Λ . To maintain the gauge invariance we choose the averaging functions ρ and $\rho^\dagger$ to be gauge covariant though this is not necessary in showing the one-to-one correspondence between the zero-modes. In what follows we shall extensively use index free matrix notation.

Let us consider a fermion system $\{\psi, \bar{\psi}\}$ on a lattice Λ , and then define the block-spin transformation of the fermion variables by the following path integral

$$e^{-\bar{\psi} D \psi} = \int d\phi d\bar{\phi} e^{-(\bar{\psi} - \bar{\phi}\rho^\dagger)\alpha(\psi - \rho\phi) - \bar{\phi}\mathcal{D}\phi}, \quad (5)$$

where the matrix α is assumed to be hermitian, local and regular on Λ and proportional to the unit matrix in the Dirac space. We also assume that α^{-1} is local. The simplest choice consistent with the gauge symmetry is for α to be proportional to the unit matrix on Λ . We leave the external gauge field unchanged, and so the Dirac operator D on the coarse lattice Λ still depends on the gauge field on the fine lattice Λ_0 .

It is straightforward to show that the Dirac operator D on the coarse lattice is given by

$$D = \alpha - \alpha\rho\mathcal{M}^{-1}\rho^\dagger\alpha, \quad (6)$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ is defined by the Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$ on the fine lattice Λ_0 as

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D} + \rho^\dagger\alpha\rho. \quad (7)$$

Furthermore by using (1) and (6) the Dirac operator D on the coarse lattice can be shown to satisfy the following GW relation

$$D\gamma_5 + \gamma_5 D = 2D\gamma_5 R D, \quad (8)$$

where R is given by

$$R = \alpha^{-1} + \rho\mathcal{R}\rho^\dagger. \quad (9)$$

These results are already noted in ref. [6].

That the index (2) is conserved under the block-spin transformation can be seen as follows: the fermion action on the lattice Λ_0 is invariant under the infinitesimal chiral transformation [5]

$$\phi' = \{1 + i\epsilon\gamma_5(1 - \mathcal{R}\mathcal{D})\}\phi, \quad \bar{\phi}' = \bar{\phi}\{1 + i\epsilon(1 - \mathcal{D}\mathcal{R})\gamma_5\}. \quad (10)$$

The fermion measure, however, gives rise to the Jacobian [18]

$$d\phi' d\bar{\phi}' = d\phi d\bar{\phi} \left\{ 1 - 2i\epsilon \text{Tr} \gamma_5 (1 - \mathcal{RD}) \right\} . \quad (11)$$

The term proportional to ϵ in the Jacobian must be canceled by a term arising from the blocking kernel $(\bar{\psi} - \bar{\phi}\rho^\dagger)\alpha(\psi - \rho\phi)$. The significance of the Jacobian is also noted in refs. [19, 17]. By applying the chiral transformation (10) to the rhs of (5) and retaining only the first order terms in ϵ it is straightforward to show that the fermion system on the coarse lattice Λ must satisfy

$$\left\{ \text{Tr} \gamma_5 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\psi}} \left[\left(\bar{\psi} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\psi}} R \right) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\psi}} \gamma_5 \left(\psi + R \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\psi}} \right) - 2 \text{Tr} \gamma_5 (1 - \mathcal{RD}) \right\} e^{-\bar{\psi} D \psi} = 0 , \quad (12)$$

where the derivatives act on everything on their right. This gives the GW relation (8) and the conservation of the index

$$\text{Tr} \gamma_5 (1 - RD) = \text{Tr} \gamma_5 (1 - \mathcal{RD}) . \quad (13)$$

This result can also be obtained directly from (6) and (7) together with the GW relation (1) [6]. We note the following identity

$$1 - RD = \rho(1 - \mathcal{RD})\mathcal{M}^{-1}\rho^\dagger\alpha . \quad (14)$$

Taking the trace of this expression and using $\mathcal{M}^{-1}\rho^\dagger\alpha\rho = 1 - \mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{D}$, we get

$$\text{Tr} \gamma_5 (1 - RD) = \text{Tr} \gamma_5 (1 - \mathcal{RD}) - \text{Tr} \mathcal{D} \gamma_5 (1 - \mathcal{RD}) \mathcal{M}^{-1} . \quad (15)$$

The second term on the rhs of this expression, however, can be shown to vanish by using $\text{Tr} \mathcal{D} \gamma_5 \mathcal{M}^{-1} = \text{Tr} \gamma_5 \mathcal{D} \mathcal{M}^{-1}$ and the GW relation (1). We thus see that (13) is reproduced.

The index relation (13) should not be confused with the topological invariance of the index. The latter is concerned with the continuous change of the gauge field, whereas the former implies the persistence of the index under the discrete transformations.[‡] This suggests that there is some relationship between the zero-modes of the two GW Dirac operators. We can indeed show a much stronger statement than (13) that there is a chirality preserving one-to-one correspondence between the zero-modes; the persistence of the index is an immediate consequence of this fact.

To show the one-to-one correspondence we first note the following identities

$$D\rho = \alpha\rho\mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{D} , \quad \rho^\dagger D = \mathcal{D}\mathcal{M}^{-1}\rho^\dagger\alpha . \quad (16)$$

The first relation implies that $\varphi \equiv \rho\Phi$ is a zero-mode of D if Φ is a nonvanishing zero-mode of $\mathcal{D}$ [17]. That φ is nonvanishing can be shown by noting the following identity

$$\Phi = (1 - \mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{D})\Phi = \mathcal{M}^{-1}\rho^\dagger\alpha\varphi . \quad (17)$$

[‡]The block-spin transformations are discrete since the degrees of freedom cannot be continuously changed.

On the other hand we see from the second relation of (16) that $\Phi = \mathcal{M}^{-1}\rho^\dagger\alpha\varphi$ is a zero-mode of $\mathcal{D}$ if φ is a nonvanishing zero-mode of D . Since $\rho\rho^\dagger$ and α are nonsingular by assumption, this relation can be inverted as $\varphi = \rho\Phi$ and Φ cannot be nonvanishing if $\varphi \neq 0$. We thus obtain the one-to-one correspondence between the zero-modes

$$\varphi = \rho\Phi \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \Phi = \mathcal{M}^{-1}\rho^\dagger\alpha\varphi. \quad (18)$$

Note that the chirality is preserved under the mapping between the zero-modes. From Φ to φ this is obvious since ρ commutes with γ_5 . Conversely from φ to Φ one can confirm this by noting the relation $\gamma_5\Phi = \mathcal{M}^{-1}\rho^\dagger\alpha\gamma_5\varphi$ for $D\varphi = 0$. We thus find that under the block-spin transformation a zero-mode of $\mathcal{D}$ on the fine lattice with definite chirality is mapped to a zero-mode of D on the coarse lattice with the same chirality and vice versa. Therefore n_+ and n_- are preserved separately under the block-spin transformation.

We now take gauge field configurations with very large topological charge on the fine lattice Λ_0 and consider a block-spin transformation to the very coarse lattice Λ . The question is how coarse may Λ be? As we have shown, the index is preserved if the block-spin transformation exists. However, there are at most $2rN$ zero-modes of D on Λ , where N stands for the number of the sites on Λ and r is the dimension of the gauge group representation of the fermion variables. The factor 2 comes from the four dimensional representation of the Dirac matrices. The reduction from 4 to 2 can be understood as follows; we note that there must appear $N_\pm$ eigenmodes $\omega_\pm$ defined by $\gamma_5 R D \omega_\pm = \pm \omega_\pm$ satisfying the chirality sum rule $n_+ + N_+ = n_- + N_-$ due to $\text{Tr}\gamma_5 = 0$ [10] and the obvious inequality $n_+ + n_- + N_+ + N_- \leq 4rN$ coming from the size of the GW Dirac operator D . These imply that $n_\pm$ cannot be larger than $4rN/2 = 2rN$. On the other hand, since $\max\{n_+, n_-\}$ cannot be smaller than $|n_+ - n_-|$, we find that the index satisfies $|n_+ - n_-| \leq \max\{n_+, n_-\} \leq 2rN$ whenever the block-spin transformation from Λ_0 to Λ is well-defined. In the extreme case of $n_+ = N_- = 2rN$ and $n_- = N_+ = 0$ the GW Dirac operator D is simply given by $R^{-1}(1 - \gamma_5)/2$. We see that in this extreme case all the propagating degrees disappear on the coarse lattice.

Conversely, this implies that it is impossible to find such a block-spin transformation if Λ is so coarse and

$$|\text{Tr}\gamma_5(1 - \mathcal{R}\mathcal{D})| > 2rN \quad (19)$$

is satisfied. In other words the block-spin transformation becomes ill-defined if the number of the sites on the coarse lattice multiplied by $2r$ is less than the absolute value of the index of the GW Dirac operator on the fine lattice. We now show that this indeed occurs.

Let N_0 be the number of the sites on the fine lattice Λ_0 . Then ρ can be represented as a matrix with $4rN$ rows and $4rN_0$ columns including spin and internal degrees of freedom. Since $\rho\rho^\dagger$ and α are assumed to be regular $4rN \times 4rN$ matrices, a zero-mode of ρ is always a zero-mode of $\rho^\dagger\alpha\rho$ and vice versa. In general ρ possesses $4r(N_0 - N)$ zero-modes since the

maximal rank of such matrices is $4rN$. We denote the zero-modes of ρ with definite chirality by $u_{\pm}^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, 2r(N_0 - N)$) and the nonzero-modes by $v_{\pm}^{(p)}$ ($p = 1, \dots, 2rN$). They are assumed to be linearly independent and satisfy $\gamma_5 u_{\pm}^{(i)} = \pm u_{\pm}^{(i)}$ and $\gamma_5 v_{\pm}^{(p)} = \pm v_{\pm}^{(p)}$.

On the other hand let us suppose that the Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$ on the fine lattice Λ_0 possesses $n_+ + n_-$ zero-modes $\Phi_{\pm}^{(a)}$ ($a = 1, \dots, n_{\pm}$) with $\gamma_5 \Phi_{\pm}^{(a)} = \pm \Phi_{\pm}^{(a)}$ and $4rN_0 - n_+ - n_-$ nonzero-modes. Since $u_{\pm}^{(i)}$ and $v_{\pm}^{(p)}$ form a basis for the fermion variables on Λ_0 , $\Phi_{\pm}^{(a)}$ can be expressed as

$$\Phi_{\pm}^{(a)} = \sum_{i=1}^{2r(N_0-N)} c_{ai}^{(\pm)} u_{\pm}^{(i)} + \sum_{p=1}^{2rN} d_{ap}^{(\pm)} v_{\pm}^{(p)}, \quad (20)$$

where $c_{ai}^{(\pm)}$ and $d_{ap}^{(\pm)}$ are some constants. The matrix $d^{(\pm)} \equiv (d_{ap}^{(\pm)})$ has $n_{\pm}$ rows and $2rN$ columns and the maximal rank of $d^{(\pm)}$ is $\max\{n_{\pm}, 2rN\}$. If n_+ is larger than $2rN$ and $d^{(+)}$ is of the maximal rank $2rN$, then there exist $n_+ - 2rN$ sets of n_+ constants $C_a^{(s)}$ ($s = 1, \dots, n_+ - 2rN$, $a = 1, \dots, n_+$) satisfying $\sum_{a=1}^{n_+} C_a^{(s)} d_{ap}^{(+)} = 0$ for $p = 1, \dots, 2rN$. The existence of such constants in turn implies that certain combinations of the zero-modes of $\mathcal{D}$ are simultaneously zero-modes of ρ . They are explicitly given by

$$\Psi_+^{(s)} = \sum_{a=1}^{n_+} C_a^{(s)} \Phi_+^{(a)} = \sum_{i=1}^{2r(N_0-N)} \sum_{a=1}^{n_+} C_a^{(s)} c_{ai}^{(+)} u_+^{(i)} \quad (21)$$

and satisfy $\mathcal{M} \Psi_+^{(s)} = 0$. We thus find that $\mathcal{M}$ must have at least $n_+ - 2rN$ zero-modes if $n_+ > 2rN$ and, hence, $\mathcal{M}^{-1}$ does not exist. A similar thing also happens in the case $n_- > 2rN$. In our argument showing the persistence of the index it is tacitly assumed that $\mathcal{M}$ is regular and that $\mathcal{M}^{-1}$ exists. If Λ becomes very coarse and $\max\{n_+, n_-\} > 2rN$ is satisfied, then $\mathcal{M}$ starts to have zero-modes and the regularity of $\mathcal{M}$ is lost. This implies that the block-spin transformation (5) becomes ill-defined for gauge field configurations with $\max\{n_+, n_-\} > 2rN$. This is precisely what happens in the block-spin transformation for a given gauge field configuration with a very large topological winding. There may occur, however, accidental zero-modes of $\mathcal{D}$ that are not stable under arbitrary local variations of the gauge potential and the numbers of the zero-modes $n_{\pm}$ in general may jump for some gauge field configurations within the same connected component. Nevertheless we can give a topologically invariant statement by noting that the number of topologically stable zero-modes of $\mathcal{D}$ is just the absolute value of the index: it is impossible to carry out the block-spin transformation if (19) is satisfied.

In the remainder of this note we consider the case that the background gauge field has a smooth continuum limit as Λ_0 approaches to the continuum and give the explicit expression of the index of the GW Dirac operator D on Λ in terms of the smooth background gauge field. This corresponds to considering the block-spin transformation of the continuum theory.[§]

[§]In the continuum theory the path integral (5) is assumed to be regularized, say, by using the gauge

The GW Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}$ is supposed to approach the Dirac operator $i\mathcal{D} \equiv i\gamma_\mu(\partial_\mu + A_\mu)$ of the continuum theory, where A_μ is the smooth background gauge potential. By invoking the Atiyah-Singer index theorem [20] we see that the index is given explicitly in terms of A_μ as

$$\text{Tr}\gamma_5(1 - RD) = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \text{tr} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\sigma} . \quad (22)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + [A_\mu, A_\nu]$ is the field strength. It is possible to show this in the present context by evaluating the index of D given by (6) and (7) with $\mathcal{D}_{xy} = \langle x|i\mathcal{D}|y\rangle = i\mathcal{D}(x)\delta_{xy}$ and $\mathcal{R} = 0$. The index can be expressed as

$$\text{Tr}\gamma_5(1 - RD) = \text{Tr}\gamma_5\rho\mathcal{M}^{-1}\rho^\dagger\alpha = \text{Tr}\gamma_5\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{V})^{-1} , \quad (23)$$

where use has been made of (14) and we have introduced $\mathcal{V} \equiv \rho^\dagger\alpha\rho$. But the rhs of this expression is independent of $\mathcal{V}$ (as far as $\mathcal{V}$ commutes with γ_5) as can be verified from the identity[¶]

$$\{\gamma_5(1 - 2\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{V})^{-1})\}^2 = 1 . \quad (24)$$

The operator $\mathcal{V}$ can be continuously changed to any operator unless $(\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{V})^{-1}$ becomes singular. In particular we may consider a deformation of $\mathcal{V}$ from $\rho^\dagger\alpha\rho$ to $M_0\delta_{xy}$, where M_0 is a sufficiently large constant. We thus obtain

$$\text{Tr} \gamma_5(1 - RD) = \lim_{M_0 \rightarrow \infty} \text{Tr}\gamma_5 \frac{M_0}{i\mathcal{D} + M_0} = \int d^4x \lim_{M_0 \rightarrow \infty} \langle x | \text{tr} \left(\gamma_5 \frac{M_0}{i\mathcal{D} + M_0} \right) | x \rangle , \quad (25)$$

where tr stands for the ordinary trace over the spin and internal indices and we have taken the infinite M_0 limit for simplicity. The integrand of the last expression is nothing but the chiral anomaly in the case of Pauli-Villars regularization. We thus obtain (22).

That the index of D coincides with that of the continuum theory is not so surprising since D still depends on the smooth gauge field A_μ of the continuum theory through $\mathcal{D}$. In this sense the index (22) should be considered to be related to the topology of the continuum gauge fields. Nevertheless, it is possible to apply (22) for the evaluation of the index of the GW Dirac operator with the gauge field being defined not in the continuum but on the lattice if one can find a smoothly interpolated gauge potential in the continuum from the lattice gauge field. The GW Dirac operator D is still given by (6) and (7) but it now depends only on the gauge field on the lattice. In this case the index of the lattice theory coincides with that of the continuum theory. This is quite nontrivial and is not to be confused with the classical continuum limit discussed above. Any lattice approximation may truncate some topological

covariant mode cut-off [17].

[¶]This can be derived from $\gamma_5\mathcal{D} + \mathcal{D}\gamma_5 = 0$ and $\gamma_5\mathcal{V} - \mathcal{V}\gamma_5 = 0$.

information of the continuum gauge field and such coincidence of the indices between the lattice theory and the corresponding continuum limit is not guaranteed in general.

We may summarize as follows. The block-spin transformation (5) makes sense in general and induces a chirality preserving one-to-one mapping between the zero-modes of the GW Dirac operators if the number of zero-modes of $\mathcal{D}$ satisfies $n_{\pm} \leq 2rN$. For a suitable choice of α and ρ , $\mathcal{M}$ has no zero-mode and the inverse $\mathcal{M}^{-1}$ is well-defined. However, if $\max\{n_+, n_-\} > 2rN$, some of the zero-modes of $\mathcal{D}$ become also zero-modes of $\mathcal{M}$ for any choice of α and ρ . The functional integral (5) is vanishing there and it becomes impossible to define the Dirac operator D for the fermion system on the coarse lattice Λ . When the absolute value of the index is larger than $2rN$, an excess of zero-modes occurs for any gauge field configuration within the same topologically connected component. Though (19) is rarely satisfied in practical situations, it is very interesting to note that the block-spin transformations from a fine lattice with a very large index to coarser and coarser lattices cannot be carried out successively arbitrarily. They become inevitably ill-defined at some stage where (19) is satisfied.

We would like to thank Peter Weisz for valuable comments and careful reading of the manuscript. This work was completed during the time when two of us (T.F. and H.S.) attended the Ringberg workshop. They would like to thank Martin Lüscher, Erhard Seiler and Peter Weisz for the kind hospitality. T.F. thank Ryuji Uchino for discussions at the early stage of this work. He would also like to express his thanks to Dieter Maison for the kind hospitality during his stay at Max Planck Institute. K.W. is very grateful to Fumihiko Sakata for the warm hospitality and to Faculty of Science of Ibaraki University for the financial support during his visit at Ibaraki University.

References

- [1] P.H. Ginsparg and K.G. Wilson, Phys. Rev. **D25** (1982) 2649.
- [2] P. Hasenfratz, Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl.) **63** (1998) 53; Nucl. Phys. **B525** (1998) 401;
H. Neuberger, Phys. Lett. **B417** (1998) 141; **B427** (1998) 353.
- [3] P. Hernández, K. Jansen and M. Lüscher, Nucl. Phys. **B552** (1999) 363;
H. Neuberger, Phys. Rev. **D61** (2000) 085015.
- [4] M. Lüscher, Nucl. Phys. **B549** (1999) 295; **B568** (2000) 162;
For reviews, see F. Niedermayer, Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl.) **73** (1999) 105;
M. Lüscher, Talk given at the International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory, Pisa,
hep-lat/9909150.
- [5] M. Lüscher, Phys. Lett. **B428** (1998) 342.
- [6] P. Hasenfratz, V. Laliena and F. Niedermayer, Phys. Lett. **B427** (1998) 125.
- [7] Y. Kikukawa and A. Yamada, Phys. Lett. **B448** (1999) 265.
- [8] D.H. Adams, hep-lat/9812003;
H. Suzuki, Prog. Theor. Phys. **102** (1999) 141.
- [9] K. Fujikawa, Nucl. Phys. **B546** (1999) 480.
- [10] T.W. Chiu, Phys. Rev. **D58** (1998) 074511.
- [11] K. Fujikawa, Phys. Rev. **D60** (1999) 074505.
- [12] M. Lüscher, Commun. Math. Phys. **85** (1982) 39;
A. Phillips, Ann. Phys. **161** (1985) 399;
A.S. Kronfeld, M.L. Laursen, G. Schierholz and U.-J. Wiese, Nucl. Phys. **B292** (1987)
330;
M. Göckeler, A.S. Kronfeld, M.L. Laursen, G. Schierholz and U.-J. Wiese, Nucl. Phys.
B292 (1987) 349;
M. Lüscher, Nucl. Phys. **B549** (1999) 295.
- [13] M. Lüscher, Nucl. Phys. **B538** (1999) 515.
- [14] T. Fujiwara, H. Suzuki and K. Wu, Phys. Lett. **B463** (1999) 63; Nucl. Phys. **B569**
(2000) 643; IU-MSTP/37, hep-lat/9910030.
- [15] T. Fujiwara, H. Suzuki and K. Wu, IU-MSTP/39, hep-lat/0001029.

- [16] D.H. Adams, hep-lat/0001014; hep-lat/0004015.
- [17] A. Yamada, hep-lat/9911017.
- [18] K. Fujikawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **42** (1979) 1195; Phys. Rev. **D21** (1980) 2848; **D22** (1980) 1499 (E).
- [19] H. So and N. Ukita, Phys. Lett. **B457** (1999) 314.
- [20] M. Atiyah and I. Singer, Ann. Math. **87** (1968) 484.